

UNITED STATES PEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/096,515	06/12/98	B INOUE		Y	35.G2190
Г	- 005514 PM82/0915 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	EXAMINER	
					DORSE	EY,D
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NEW TOTAL N	1 10112			3635	7
				•	DATE MAILED	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/096,515

Applicant(s)

Yuji Inoue et al.

Examiner

Dennis L. Dorsey

Group Art Unit 3635



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 12, 1998	·						
☐ This action is FINAL .							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the						
Disposition of Claims							
X Claim(s) 1-13	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
	is/are rejected.						
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
🛛 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.						
X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
	f the priority documents have been						
🛛 received.							
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun							
received in this national stage application from the							
*Certified copies not received:							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)							
■ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ■ Notice of References Cited Cite							
	o(s)6						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	.8						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES						

Application/Control Number: 09/096515

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the last line of the abstract refers to the purported merits of the application and in line 9 the phrase "prevent" appears to be wrong and should be "present". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/096515

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1 and 3, the claim is indefinite and confusing because it appears to set forth the limitation that the electrical conductive lead and connector are composed of at least one selected from the group listed. However, the specification sets forth that the jacket material of the electrical conductive lead and connector is composed of at least one selected from the group listed. For the purpose of prosecuting the application the Examiner understands the limitation to be in reference to the jacket material surrounding the lead and connector.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the building material and a power inverter.

Application/Control Number: 09/096515 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori in view of Hayami.

Mori teaches all the limitations in the above claims except the composition of the jacket of the connector and electrical lead. Mori teaches solar cell modules (40) fixed to a metal substrate (39) and electrically connected by connector (42), cable (43) and junction box (44). Hayami teaches lead wire surrounded by a jacket made composed of polyethylene resin. It would be obvious to select such a material that is well known in the art. One skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would select this composition to provide superior protection of the electrical lead.

8. Claims 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoyama et al. in view Hayami.

Itoyama et al. teaches all the limitations of the above claims except the composition of the jacket of the connector and electrical lead and method. Itoyama teaches solar cell (101), metal substrate (107), backing material (104), electrically connected (113), spacers (102), power converter (column 3, lines 12-15), and air flow apparatus (see figure 8).

Application/Control Number: 09/096515

1 1 unitoet: 0 // 0 / 0 / 0 / 1 .

Art Unit: 3635

Hayami teaches lead wire surrounded by a jacket made composed of polyethylene resin. It would

Page 5

be obvious to select such a material that is well known in the art. One skilled in the art at the time

the invention was made would select this composition to provide superior protection of the

electrical lead.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Dennis L. Dorsey whose telephone number is (703) 306-9137.

Karl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

DLD

September 9, 1999